

A note on gaps

Hisanobu Shinya

January 7, 2009

Abstract

Let p_k denote the k -th prime and $d(p_k) = p_k - p_{k-1}$, the difference between consecutive primes. We denote by $N_\epsilon(x)$ the number of primes $\leq x$ which satisfy the inequality $d(p_k) \leq (\log p_k)^{2+\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and fixed, and by $\pi(x)$ the number of primes less than or equal to x . In this paper, we first prove a theorem that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} N_\epsilon(x)/\pi(x) = 1$. A corollary to the proof of the theorem concerning gaps between consecutive squarefree numbers is stated.

Email address: shinyah18@yahoo.co.jp

2000 MSC: 11B05.

1 Introduction

Let p_k denote the k -th prime and for $k > 1$,

$$d(p_k) := p_k - p_{k-1}.$$

Concerning $d(p_k)$, Harald Cramér conjectured that there exists a positive real number M such that

$$d(p_{k+1}) = p_{k+1} - p_k \leq M(\log p_k)^2$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

Cramér himself showed [2] that

$$p_{k+1} - p_k = o((\log p_k)^3)$$

for all but at most $o(x/(\log x)^4)$ primes $\leq x$.

We denote the number of primes less than or equal to a positive real number x with $\pi(x)$. In this paper, we prove the following theorem, which supports Cramér's conjecture.

Theorem 1. *Let x be any positive real number and $N_\epsilon(x)$ the number of primes $\leq x$ which satisfy the inequality*

$$d(p_k) \leq (\log p_k)^{2+\epsilon},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and fixed. Then we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_\epsilon(x)}{\pi(x)} = 1.$$

Note that the function for the upper bound of $d(p_k)$ in Theorem 1 is $(\log p_k)^2$, while that in Cramér's conjecture is $(\log p_{k-1})^2$; the function is evaluated at the smaller prime of the gap in Cramér's conjecture. Nevertheless, the prime number theorem implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_k}{p_{k+1}} = 1,$$

so replacing the function for the upper bound in Cramér's conjecture by $(\log p_k)^2$ does not change the statement of the conjecture essentially.

In proving Theorem 1, the following lemmas are used.

Lemma 1. [1, pp. 77] *For any arithmetical function $a(n)$ let*

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a(n),$$

where $A(x) = 0$ if $x < 1$. Assume that f has a continuous derivative on the interval $[y, x]$, where $0 < y < x$. Then we have

$$\sum_{y < n \leq x} a(n)f(n) = A(x)f(x) - A(y)f(y) - \int_y^x A(t)f'(t)dt.$$

Lemma 2. [2] *For all $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$d(p_{k+1}) = O(p_k^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{7}{200}}).$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.

Define

$$d(p_1) := p_1.$$

We begin by an elementary analysis of the partial sums

$$Q(t) := \sum_{p_k \leq t} d(p_k) - \sum_{n \leq t} 1.$$

Lemma 3. *For all positive real numbers $t \geq 2$, if $p_{k-1} \leq t \leq p_k$ ($k \geq 2$) then we have*

$$-(p_k - p_{k-1}) \leq Q(t) \leq 0.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that for $N = 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p_k \leq p_N} d(p_k) &= d(p_1) + d(p_2) + d(p_3) + \dots + d(p_N) \\ &= p_1 + p_2 - p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + \dots + p_N - p_{N-1} \\ &= p_N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $N \geq 2$ we have

$$Q(p_N) = 0. \tag{1}$$

If t satisfies $p_{N-1} < t < p_N$ ($N \geq 2$), then the first partial sums of Q is constant, and the second ones decrease by 1 as t increases by 1. The lemma now follows from (1). □

Using all the preliminary lemmas above, Theorem 1 is proved as follows. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

We define a function $\delta_\epsilon(x)$ such that

$$N_\epsilon(x) = (1 - \delta_\epsilon(x))\pi(x). \tag{2}$$

It is plain that $0 < \delta_\epsilon(x) < 1$. We show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \delta_\epsilon(x) = 0, \tag{3}$$

thereby proving Theorem 1.

By the definition of $N_\epsilon(x)$, there are $(1 - \delta_\epsilon(x))\pi(x)$ primes which are $\leq x$ and satisfy the inequality

$$d(p_k) \leq (\log p_k)^{2+\epsilon}.$$

This is equivalent to stating the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{There are } \delta_\epsilon(x)\pi(x) \text{ primes which are } \leq x \text{ and satisfy} \\ &d(p_k) > (\log p_k)^{2+\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

At this point, we pay our attention to the partial sums

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{d(p)}{p} - \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n}.$$

Define

$$p(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & : n \text{ is prime} \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In Lemma 1, we choose

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{t}, \quad a(n) = d(n)p(n) - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad y = 1/2,$$

and obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{d(p)}{p} - \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{Q(x)}{x} + \int_1^x \frac{Q(t)dt}{t^2}. \tag{5}$$

By Lemmas 2 and 3, it is plain that the limit of the right side of (5) as $x \rightarrow \infty$ exists. Since

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \sim \log x, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows from (5) that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{d(p)}{p} \sim \log x, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \tag{6}$$

Now, given ϵ and $x > 0$, let $S_\epsilon(x)$ be the set of all primes $\leq x$ which satisfy the last inequality in (4), $|S_\epsilon(x)|$ the number of elements in $S_\epsilon(x)$, and

$$\chi_{\epsilon,x}(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & : n \in S_\epsilon(x) \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Choosing

$$f(t) = q_\epsilon(t) := \frac{(\log t)^{2+\epsilon}}{t}, \quad a(n) = \chi_{\epsilon,x}(n), \quad \text{and} \quad y = 1/2$$

in Lemma 1, we have for each $w \leq x$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in S_\epsilon(x), p \leq w} \frac{d(p)}{p} &\geq \sum_{n \leq w} \frac{(\log n)^{2+\epsilon} \chi_{\epsilon,x}(n)}{n} \\ &= \frac{\xi_{\epsilon,x}(w)(\log w)^{2+\epsilon}}{w} - \int_2^w \xi_{\epsilon,x}(t) q'_\epsilon(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\xi_{\epsilon,x}(t) := \sum_{n \leq t} \chi_{\epsilon,x}(n)$$

and $0 \leq \xi_{\epsilon,x}(w) \leq |S_\epsilon(x)|$ for $w \leq x$. In particular, when $w = x$, we have

$$\sum_{p \in S_\epsilon(x), p \leq x} \frac{d(p)}{p} \geq \frac{|S_\epsilon(x)|(\log x)^{2+\epsilon}}{x} - \int_2^x \xi_{\epsilon,x}(t) q'_\epsilon(t) dt. \quad (7)$$

But since

$$q'_\epsilon(t) = \frac{(2+\epsilon)(\log t)^{1+\epsilon} - (\log t)^{2+\epsilon}}{t^2},$$

it is plain that for each arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that $q'_\epsilon(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq t_\epsilon$. This in turn implies that for each arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, with

$$\xi_{\epsilon,x}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

there exists a positive real number M_ϵ , which depends only on ϵ , such that the integral in (7) satisfies

$$- \int_2^x \xi_{\epsilon,x}(t) q'_\epsilon(t) dt > -M_\epsilon \quad (8)$$

for all $x \geq 2$.

With (8), the inequality (7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in S_\epsilon(x), p \leq x} \frac{d(p)}{p} &= \frac{|S_\epsilon(x)|(\log x)^{2+\epsilon}}{x} - \int_2^x \xi_{\epsilon,x}(t) q'_\epsilon(t) dt \\ &\geq \frac{|S_\epsilon(x)|(\log x)^{2+\epsilon}}{x} - M_\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, by (4), we have $|S_\epsilon(x)| = \delta_\epsilon(x)\pi(x)$, and so (9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in S_\epsilon(x), p \leq x} \frac{d(p)}{p} &\geq \frac{|S_\epsilon(x)|(\log x)^{2+\epsilon}}{x} - M_\epsilon \\ &= \frac{\delta_\epsilon(x)\pi(x)(\log x)^{2+\epsilon}}{x} - M_\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Finally, by (6) and the prime number theorem

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

letting $x \rightarrow \infty$, the inequality (10) becomes

$$\log x \sim \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{d(p)}{p} \geq \sum_{p \in S_\epsilon(x), p \leq x} \frac{d(p)}{p} \geq \frac{\delta_\epsilon(x)\pi(x)(\log x)^{2+\epsilon}}{x} - M_\epsilon,$$

by which (3) follows immediately. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3 Distribution of squarefree numbers

We note the following theorem, which is a corollary to the proof of Theorem 1 in the previous section.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{a_k\}$ be the sequence of squarefree numbers,*

$$s(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & : n = a_k \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$d(a_k) := a_k - a_{k-1},$$

and

$$S(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} s(n).$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and $N_\epsilon(x)$ the number of squarefree numbers $\leq x$ which satisfy the inequality

$$d(a_k) \leq M(\log a_k)^{1+\epsilon}$$

for some positive constant M . Then we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_\epsilon(x)}{S(x)} = 1.$$

Proof. We recall that [3]

$$S(x) = Ax + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{11}$$

for some constant A . By (11), it is easy to see that

$$d(a_k) = O(a_k^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

If we recall how Lemma 3 was derived with Lemma 2, it is plain that

$$R(t) := \sum_{a_k \leq t} d(a_k) - \sum_{n \leq t} 1 = O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

The rest of the proof follows a similar pattern. In particular, we note the following analogues:

1. the analogue of (6) is

$$\sum_{a_k \leq x} \frac{d(a_k)}{a_k} \sim \log x, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty;$$

2. the analogue of $Q(t)$ is $R(t)$;

3. the analogue of $q_\epsilon(t)$ is the function $\frac{(\log t)^{1+\epsilon}}{t}$.

□

From Theorem 2, one may wonder if the exact order of $d(a_k)$ is $O(\log a_k)$.

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [2] A. Granville, Harald Cramér and the distribution of prime numbers, Scandinavian Actuarial J. 1 (1995), 12-28
- [3] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th ed., Oxford, New York, 1960.